

## OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

ACADEMY OF ORTHOPAEDIC PHYSICAL THERAPY, APTA

#### **PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE**

Lorena Pettet Payne, PT, MPA, OCS

Your special interest group has been busy. If you have not had a chance, check out "Current Concepts in Occupational Health" a group of informational articles housed on the OHSIG web page at www.orthopt.org. The newest documents, *Functional Capacity Evaluation and Prevention and Ergonomics* are sure to assist you in providing function-based services.

Plan to attend the Combined Sections Meeting, January 23-26, 2019, in Washington, DC. The OHSIG will present: *"Thinking Outside the Box: Improving Worker Health with Ergonomics."* Come learn from experts in the field of ergonomic assessment and intervention. Participate in the activities of your special interest group by attending the membership meeting. Watch for further information to be posted on the OHSIG Facebook page and delivered to your e-mail from the Academy of Orthopaedic Physical Therapy.

### "Sincerity of Effort" Testing in Functional Capacity Evaluations: The Preponderance of Evidence Does Not Support Commonly-Used Functional Testing Methods

Steve Allison, PT, DPT, OCS

A functional capacity evaluation (FCE) is a comprehensive performance-based medical assessment of an individual's physical and/or cognitive abilities to safely participate in work and other major life activities.<sup>1</sup>

Functional capacity evaluations are commonly used in cases involving workers' compensation, personal injury, long-term disability, and social security disability claims. In nearly all of these cases, financial compensation as it relates to functional limitations and work restrictions due to medically determinable impairments is at stake.

It has been a common practice over the past 30 years for FCE examiners to use some form of sincerity of effort testing methods in FCEs such as comparing an individual's performance from static (isometric) lift strength testing to their performance during incremental dynamic lift testing, 5-rung grip strength testing, rapid exchange grip strength testing, and using the coefficient of variance statistical measure with static lift strength testing and hand grip strength testing.<sup>1-27</sup>

However, the preponderance of evidence from a review of the literature does not support the use of the term sincerity of effort nor the use of these testing methods alone for opining about an individual's performance or effort level.<sup>1-27</sup>

The term "sincerity" means the quality or state of being sincere which has been defined as being honest, pure, and true. Effort has been defined as a conscious exertion of power, a serious attempt, and something produced by exertion or trying.<sup>28</sup>

Therefore, it seems logical to conclude that a sincere effort

would mean an honest attempt, or a pure conscious exertion of power, or a true exertion. In contrast, an insincere effort would mean a dishonest attempt, an impure conscious exertion of power, or an untrue exertion. Many well-trained professionals across multiple disciplines including physicians, physical therapists, occupational therapists, medical case managers, vocational counselors, attorneys, and claims examiners often equate insincere effort with malingering.

Malingering is the purposeful production of falsely or grossly exaggerated physical or psychological complaints with the goal of receiving a reward. These may include money, insurance settlement, drugs, or the avoidance of punishment, work, jury duty, release from incarceration, the military or some other kind of service. Malingering is no longer considered a mental disorder or a psychiatric diagnosis by the American Psychiatric Association, and there is specific guidance provided in the Desk Reference to the Diagnostic Criteria from DSM-5 for identifying this condition.<sup>29</sup>

Based on the evidence presented thus far, do you think that it is more probable than not that an individual who provided an insincere effort during functional testing is a malingerer?

In my opinion, the answer to this question is an unequivocal no. It is certainly possible that the individual was a malingerer, but it is also more probable than not that the results were due to other factors such as undiagnosed psychological disorders, invalid and/or unreliable testing protocols, test instrument calibration, and FCE examiner bias.

Functional capacity evaluation examiners should instead rely on objective physiological variables such as heart rate and respiration rate, and clinically observable biomechanical signs of physical exertion such as muscle recruitment and muscle fatigue during functional testing to reach a conclusion that is more probable than not about an individual's performance or effort level.<sup>1,30</sup>

In conclusion, FCE examiners do not measure an individual's honesty of effort, pureness of effort, or the trueness of their effort. Therefore, the use of the term sincerity of effort and the use of sincerity of effort testing discussed in this article is inappropriate and, in my opinion, should be avoided. However, FCE examiners who choose to continue to perform this type of testing should understand the proper use and limitations of the "sincerity of effort" testing methods, and use caution when applying these methods to make a determination about an individual's performance or effort level.<sup>1</sup>

#### REFERENCES

- Allison S, Galper J, Hoyle D, Mecham J. Current concepts in functional capacity evaluation: a best practices guideline. https://www.orthopt.org/content/special-interest-groups/ occupational-health/current-concepts-in-occ-health. Occupational Health Special Interest Group, Academy of Orthopaedic Physical Therapy. Adopted April 30, 2018.
- Lechner D, Bradbury S, Bradley L. Detecting sincerity of effort: a summary of methods and approaches. *Phys Ther*. 1998;78(8):867-888.
- 3. Sindhu BS, Shechtman O, Veazie PJ. Identifying sincerity of

effort based on the combined predictive ability of multiple grip strength tests. *J Hand Ther.* 2012;25(3):308-318; quiz 319. doi: 10.1016/j.jht.2012.03.007.

- Robinson ME, Geisser ME, Hanson CS, O'Connor PD. Detecting submaximal efforts in grip strength testing with the coefficient of variation. *J Occup Rehabil.* 1993;3(1):45-50. doi: 10.1007/BF01076741.
- Wachter NJ, Mentzel M, Hutz R, Gulke J. Reliability of the grip strength coefficient of variation for detecting sincerity in normal and blocked median nerve in healthy adults. *Hand Surg Rehabil.* 2017;36(2):90-96. doi: 10.1016/j.hansur.2016.12.003.
- Ashford RF, Nagelburg S, Adkins R. Sensitivity of the Jamar Dynamometer in detecting submaximal grip effort. *J Hand Surg Am.* 1996;21(3):402-405.
- 7. De Smet L, Londers J. Repeated grip strength at one month interval and detection of voluntary submaximal effort. *Acta Orthop Belg.* 2003;69(2):142-144.
- Dvir Z. Coefficient of variation in maximal and feigned static and dynamic grip efforts. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 1999;78(3):216-221.
- Fairfax AH, Balnave R, Adams RD. Variability of grip strength during isometric contraction. *Ergonomics*. 1995;38(9):1819-1830.
- Fishbain DA, Cutler R, Rosomoff HL, Rosomoff RS. Chronic pain disability exaggeration/malingering and submaximal effort research. *Clin J Pain*. 1999;15(4):244-274.
- 11. Goldman S, Cahalan TD, An KN. The injured upper extremity and the JAMAR five-handle position grip test. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 1991;70(6):306-308.
- 12. Gutierrez Z, Shechtman O. Effectiveness of the five-handle position grip strength test in detecting sincerity of effort in men and women. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2003;82(11):847-855.
- 13. Hamilton A, Balnave R, Adams R. Grip strength testing reliability. *J Hand Ther*. 1994;7(3):163-170.
- 14. Hoffmaster E, Lech R, Niebuhr BR. Consistency of sincere and feigned grip exertions with repeated testing. *J Occup Med.* 1993;35(8):788-794.
- 15. Niebuhr BR, Marion R. Detecting sincerity of effort when measuring grip strength. *Am J Phys Med.* 1987;66(1):16-24.
- 16. Niebuhr BR, Marion R. Voluntary control of submaximal grip strength. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 1990;69(2):96-101.
- 17. Shechtman O. The coefficient of variation as a measure of sincerity of effort of grip strength, Part II: sensitivity and speci-

ficity. J Hand Ther. 2001;14(3):188-194.

- Shechtman O. The coefficient of variation as a measure of sincerity of effort of grip strength, Part I: the statistical principle. *J Hand Ther.* 2001;14(3):180-187.
- 19. Shechtman O, Anton SD, Kanasky WF, Jr., Robinson ME. The use of the coefficient of variation in detecting sincerity of effort: a meta-analysis. *Work.* 2006;26(4):335-341.
- 20. Shechtman O, Gutierrez Z, Kokendofer E. Analysis of the statistical methods used to detect submaximal effort with the five-rung grip strength test. *J Hand Ther.* 2005;18(1):10-18.
- 21. Shechtman O, Taylor C. How do therapists administer the rapid exchange grip test? A survey. *J Hand Ther.* 2002;15(1):53-61.
- 22. Shechtman O, Taylor C. The use of the rapid exchange grip test in detecting sincerity of effort, Part II: validity of the test. *J Hand Ther.* 2000;13(3):203-210.
- 23. Taylor C, Shechtman O. The use of the rapid exchange grip test in detecting sincerity of effort, Part I: administration of the test. *J Hand Ther.* 2000;13(3):195-202.
- 24. Tredgett M, Pimble LJ, Davis TR. The detection of feigned hand weakness using the five position grip strength test. *J Hand Surg Br.* 1999;24(4):426-428.
- Tredgett MW, Davis TR. Rapid repeat testing of grip strength for detection of faked hand weakness. *J Hand Surg Br*. 2000;25(4):372-375.
- 26. Westbrook AP, Tredgett MW, Davis TR, Oni JA. The rapid exchange grip strength test and the detection of submaximal grip effort. *J Hand Surg Am*. 2002;27(2):329-333.
- Townsend R, Schapmire DW, St James J, Feeler L. Isometric strength assessment, Part II: Static testing does not accurately classify validity of effort. *Work.* 2010;37(4):387-394. doi: 10.3233/WOR-2010-1092.
- Merriam-Webster Dictionary. Sincerity, sincere, effort. Accessed July 17, 2018.
- 29. American Psychiatric Association: Desk Reference to the Diagnostic Criteria from DSM-5. Philadephia, PA: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013:866-868.
- Morgan MV, Allison S, Duhon D. Heart rate changes in functional capacity evaluations in a workers' compensation population. *Work*. 2012;42(2):253-257.

# **DIT** SIG

# OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH LEADERSHIP

Lorena Pettet Payne, President	2016-2019	lpettet@aol.com
Brian Murphy, Vice President/ Education Chair	2017-2020	Brian.Murphy@ResultsPhysiotherapy.com
Frances Kistner, Research Chair	2014-2020	frances.kistner@mcphs.edu
Michelle Despres, Communications Co-Chair	2017-2020	mdespres@alignnetworks.com
Caroline Furtak, Communications Co-Chair	2017-2020	ckfurtak@gmail.com
Lori Deal, Nominating Committee Chair	2016-2019	dealpt@msn.comm
Trisha Perry, Nominating Committee Member	2017-2020	trishaperry@n-o-v-a.com
Katie McBee, Nominating Committee Member	2018-2021	KMcBee@selectmedical.com